

I meant talking about stringent law enforcement and not the other aspects of treatment and rehabilitation of those who are victimized by the drugs, but since politics is the art of the possible, we must do what we are able to do at the time we're able to do it and I think in this State the cart is always going to have to be put before the horse. We're going to have to give the impression that we're going to take a heavy club and bust people up side the head with it then after we've fractured some skulls, we can talk about treatment and rehabilitation. I would like to see the other programs advance first; such as treatment and rehabilitation of the addict, but that is not going to occur. I'm with Senator Schmit in giving the State Patrol and any other State agency that we can empower to do this, all of the tools and the weapons they need to fight the heavy pusher of the hard narcotics. Once we have given them all of the tools, if the job is not done, we then are in a position to fix responsibility. We have given the State Patrol all that they say that they need and if they cannot take adequate tools and do an adequate job, then the tool operator is inefficient and we have to make changes there. I believe that the Governor is talking about saving money at a time when we should not be concerned about that. The issue is the fighting of the drug problem. This is a method of doing so. I think the veto was issued to test the water in the Legislature, to see how many men can be made to tuck tail and run because the Governor has said we're in--irresponsible; he said we are promiscuous in the expenditures of money and with all his talk lately about obscenity and pornography, I thought he would limit promiscuity to things related to sexual matters so when there is a confusion of the Legislature's spending with obscenity, promiscuity and sexual illicit activity, then I think the water is being muddied, the issue is being clouded. We should override this veto more overwhelmingly than we passed the original bill. That action was responsible, it was necessary and it can be justified.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Snyder.

SENATOR SNYDER: Mr. President and Members of the body. I would like to put some things in perspective and I'm going to have to ask a couple of questions to get this done. I think that maybe we could pause and, Senator Schmit, if you would yield to a question, you could put my thoughts straight and I think maybe those of the body.

PRESIDENT: He yields.

SENATOR SNYDER: Well, what's 13 and 13A. For those of you who haven't read the Governor's letter, it's on page 861 of the Journal and re-reading it this morning, again I think we should clarify--part of the debate on this issue, Senator Schmit has been the question of whether or not Federal funds could be used for the same purpose in getting more investigators fighting drug traffic. Near the bottom of page 861 in our Journal in the Governor's letter, it reads: "We are able to employ Federal Crime Commission funds for such needed personnel as I have outlined. This is not possible as I hope Senator Schmit will explain if you enact these measures, despite his attempts to secure such financing." Now, once and for all, could we have a reading, Senator Schmit, as to whether or not Federal funds are available?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well Senator Snyder, the proposals that are outlined in regard to the six troopers that are supposed to be employed through the use of Federal funds, the one chemist, the one criminologist, those men are to be employed through the use of Federal funds, the \$284,000 the Governor refers to but that is entirely Federal funds. Those funds are designated for that purpose but this money which we have appropriated under